



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**ENTERED**

THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON  
THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

Signed February 27, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANGELO DIVISION

IN RE: §  
ROBINSON PREMIUM BEEF, LLC, § Case No. 16-60092-RLJ-11  
§  
Debtor. §

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  
REGARDING MOTION FOR DETERMINATION THAT PLAN MODIFICATIONS DO  
NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT ANY ACCEPTING CREDITOR THAT HAS NOT  
ACCEPTED THE MODIFICATIONS IN WRITING**

On this day came on for consideration the *Motion for Determination That Plan Modifications Do Not Adversely Affect Any Accepting Creditor That Has Not Accepted the Modifications in Writing* [Docket No. 302], filed on February 23, 2018 (the “**Plan Modification Motion**”) by Robinson Premium Beef, LLC (the “**Plan Proponent**”). The Court, after noting that due notice of the Plan Modification Motion had been given to all parties-in-interest at the Confirmation Hearing and after the consideration of the evidence submitted and the arguments of

counsel during the confirmation hearing makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Adequate information was contained in Debtor, Robinson Premium Beef, LLC's *Second Disclosure Statement* (the "**Second Disclosure Statement**") in this case as set forth in Section 1125 of the Code.

2. *Debtor's Second Plan of Reorganization* (the "**Second Plan of Reorganization**") filed by Robinson Premium Beef, LLC, was not modified in such a manner that either classification or treatment of any creditor that voted to accept the Plan was materially altered in an adverse manner.

3. The Plan Modification Motion does not cause a material adverse change to the treatment of any class of creditors or interests that voted to accept the Plan, but which has not accepted the Plan Modifications in writing, as such parties' written consents to the modifications were attached to the Plan Modification Motion.

4. Notice sent to the twenty (20) largest creditors and those requesting notice is sufficient notice for modifications that do not cause a material adverse change to the treatment of creditors or interest holders which voted to accept the Plan.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The *Debtor's Second Plan of Reorganization*, as modified, does not violate Sections 1122 and 1123 of the Code.

2. The *Debtor's Second Plan of Reorganization*, as modified, meets all of the requirements of Section 1129 of the Code.

3. The Plan Proponent meets the qualifications of Section 1125 of the Code by virtue of the Second Disclosure Statement.

4. All creditors and interest holders who voted in favor of *Debtor's Second Plan of Reorganization* are deemed to have accepted the Plan Modifications.

**# # # END OF ORDER # # #**

**SUBMITTED BY:**

E. P. Keiffer (SBN 11181700)  
**ROCHELLE MCCULLOUGH, LLP**  
325 North Saint Paul St., Suite 4500  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Telephone: (214) 953-0182  
Facsimile: (214) 953-0185  
Email: [pkeiffer@romclaw.com](mailto:pkeiffer@romclaw.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTOR